

1723  
PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>Applicant:</b>	TRAVIS A. LEMKE	<b>Examiner:</b>	Sooahoo, Tony Glen
<b>Serial No.:</b>	09/876,459	<b>Group Art Unit:</b>	1723
<b>Filed:</b>	June 7, 2001	<b>Docket No.</b>	54197-237098
<b>For:</b>	CONDUCTIVITY FEEDBACK CONTROL SYSTEM FOR SLURRY BLENDING	<b>Right Fax (After Final)</b>	703-872-9311
		<b>Total # of Pages:</b>	8

Box AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

I CERTIFY THAT, ON AUGUST 18, 2003, THIS PAPER IS BEING SENT  
VIA FACSIMILE TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS,  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20531.

  
Jolene AlgerSupplemental Amendment

Reconsideration of the cited application in view of this Supplemental Amendment is requested.

This Supplemental Amendment includes the following :

- a) Introductory Comments (1 page);
- b) Amendments to the Claims (3 pages); and
- c) Remarks (3 pages).

No changes to the specification are enclosed.

Should any fees be required for entry of this amendment, the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 06-0029 and, in such event, is requested to notify us of the same.

If a request for an extension of time is required for this response to be considered timely, then Applicant requests an extension for the necessary period of time. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment of any fees required for an extension to the deposit account set forth above.

FAX RECEIVED

AUG 19 2003

TC 1700

Serial No. 09/876,459

Page 2 of 8

**Introductory Comments**

This Supplemental Amendment responds to the Final Office Action mailed May 20, 2003. An Amendment and Response dated July 21, 2003 was also submitted to the Examiner in response to this office action, however, in an Advisory Action dated July 25, 2003 the Examiner indicated that the proposed amendment would not be entered because they raised new issues and they did not place the application in better condition for appeal. Applicant now requests that this present amendment to the claims be entered because it does not raise the new issues identified in the Advisory Action and it places the application in better condition for appeal.

Reconsideration of the cited application in view of this Supplemental Amendment is requested.

**OFFICIAL****FAX RECEIVED****AUG 19 2003****TC 1700**